UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

November 18, 2009

Serial Number: 10/509692 Peter John Crocker 53 Langton Road East Molesey, Surrey KT8 2HX, UK GBX

Applicant is advised that under rule MPEP 707.13 the office action previously mailed on April 7, 2009 to applicant's attorney was returned to the office as undeliverable. Currently the office has no official change of address from applicant's attorney of record.

The time period as specified on the attached PTO-326 form for reply to the Office action will be restarted to run from the mailing date of this letter.

707.13 Returned Office Action [R-6]

Office actions are sometimes returned to the Office because the United States Postal Service has not been able to deliver them. Upon receipt of the returned Office action, the Technology Center (TC) technical support staff will check the application file record to ensure that the Office action was mailed to the correct correspondence address. If the Office action was not mailed to the correct correspondence address, it should be stamped "remailed" with the remailing date and mailed to the correct correspondence address. The period running against the application begins with the date of remailing. If the Office action was mailed to the correct correspondence address and it was addressed to an attorney or agent, a letter **>along with a copy of the Office action may be sent to the first named inventor or assignee (if available)< informing him or her of the returned action. **>The time period for reply to the Office action will be restarted to run from the mailing date of the letter informing applicant of the returned action.

Merilyn S. Watts
Head Supervisory Legal Instrument Examiner
Technology Center 3700
(571) 272-4398



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Remarked

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,692	09/28/2004	Peter John Crocker	IPL-005-PCT	7725
Ronald B Shere	7590 11/18/200 er	EXAMINER		
Bartlett & Sher	er	WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
103 South Shaf New Freedom,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.5 1.10000111,			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,692	09/28/2004	Peter John Crocker	IPL-005-PCT	7725
Ronald B Shero	7590 04/07/200	EXAMINER		
Bartlett & Sher	er	WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
103 South Shat New Freedom,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2009	PAPER -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/509,692		CROCKER ET AL.		
			Examiner		Art Unit		
		1	CATHERINE	N. WITCZAK	3767		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 Se</u>	ptember 200	<u>4</u> .			
•			action is non-				
3)□ S	since this application is in condition	for allowand	ce except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
C	losed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex</i>	k parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	n of Claims						
4)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>73-144</u> is/are pending in th	e application	n.			·	
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□ C	claim(s) is/are rejected.			-			
-	claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>73-144</u> are subject to restri	iction and/or	r election req	uirement.			
Application	n Papers		,				
9)⊡ TI	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)□ Ti	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) □ acce _l	pted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	pplicant may not request that any obje						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	. Certified copies of the priority					0.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s	5)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informati Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

AI: Embodiment of Figure 1

AII: Embodiment of Figure 3

AIII: Embodiment of Figure 4

AIV: Embodiment of Figure 5

AV: Embodiment of Figure 6

AVI: Embodiment of Figure 7a

AVII: Embodiment of Figure 7b

AVIII: Embodiment of Figure 7c

AIX: Embodiment of Figure 8a

AX: Embodiment of Figure 8b

AXI: Embodiment of Figure 8c

AXII: Embodiment of Figure 9a

AXIII: Embodiment of Figure 9b

AXIV: Embodiment of Figure 9c

AXV: Embodiment of Figure 9d

AXVI: Embodiment of Figure 10

AXVII: Embodiment of Figure 11

AXVIII: Embodiment of Figure 12a

AXIX: Embodiment of Figure 12b

AXX: Embodiment of Figure 12c

Art Unit: 3767

AXXI: Embodiment of Figure 12d

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR.1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims

Art Unit: 3767

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of

the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic

claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant

elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently product claim for that

process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the

rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for

patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all

criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims

to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in

Art Unit: 3767

scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order

to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process

claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to

do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by

the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine N Witczak/

Examiner, Art Unit 3767found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/509,692

Art Unit: 3767

/Kevin C. Sirmons/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767